

ISOMETRY GROUPS OF k -CURVATURE HOMOGENEOUS PSEUDO-RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

P. GILKEY AND S. NIKČEVIĆ

ABSTRACT. We study the isometry groups of a family of complete $p + 2$ -curvature homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian metrics on $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$ which have neutral signature $(3 + 2p, 3 + 2p)$, and which are 0-curvature modeled on an indecomposable symmetric space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{M} := (M, g)$ be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (p, q) . Let $g_P := g|_{T_P M}$ (resp. $\nabla^i R_P := \nabla^i R|_{T_P M}$) be the restriction of the metric (resp. the i^{th} covariant derivative of the curvature tensor) to the tangent space at $P \in M$. We define the k -model of $\mathcal{M}$ at P by setting:

$$\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}, P) := (T_P M, g_P, R_P, \dots, \nabla^k R_P).$$

One says that $\phi : \mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}_1, P_1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}_2, P_2)$ is an *isomorphism* from the k -model of $\mathcal{M}_1$ at P_1 to the k -model of $\mathcal{M}_2$ at P_2 if ϕ is a linear isomorphism from $T_{P_1} M_1$ to $T_{P_2} M_2$ with

$$\phi^* g_{2, P_2} = g_{1, P_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^* \nabla_2^i R_{\mathcal{M}_2, P_2} = \nabla_1^i R_{\mathcal{M}_1, P_1} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq k.$$

One says that $\mathcal{M}$ is *k -curvature homogeneous* if the k -models $\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}, P)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}, Q)$ are isomorphic for any $P, Q \in M$.

In the Riemannian setting ($p = 0$), Takagi [14] constructed 0-curvature homogeneous complete non-compact Riemannian manifolds; compact examples were exhibited subsequently by Ferus, Karcher, and Münzer [5]. Although many other examples have been constructed, there are no known Riemannian manifolds which are 1-curvature homogeneous but not locally homogeneous and it is natural to conjecture that any 1-curvature homogeneous Riemannian manifold is locally homogeneous.

In the Lorentzian setting ($p = 1$), curvature homogeneous manifolds which are not locally homogeneous were constructed by Cahen et. al. [4]; 1-curvature homogeneous Lorentzian manifolds which are not locally homogeneous have been exhibited by Bueken and Djorić [2] and by Bueken and Vanhecke [3]. One could conjecture that a 2-curvature homogeneous Lorentzian manifold must be locally homogeneous.

It is clear that local homogeneity implies k -curvature homogeneity for any k . The following result, due to Singer [11] in the Riemannian setting and to F. Podesta and A. Spiro [10] in the general context, provides a partial converse:

Theorem 1.1 (Singer, Podesta-Spiro). *There exists an integer $k_{p,q}$ so that if $\mathcal{M}$ is a complete simply connected pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (p, q) which is $k_{p,q}$ -curvature homogeneous, then (M, g) is homogeneous.*

Sekigawa, Suga, and Vanhecke [12, 13] showed any 1-curvature homogeneous complete simply connected Riemannian manifold of dimension $m < 5$ is homogeneous; thus $k_{0,2} = k_{0,3} = k_{0,4} = 1$. The estimate $k_{0,m} < \frac{3}{2}m - 1$ was established

Key words and phrases. k -curvature homogeneous, homogeneous space, symmetric space, isometry group.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53B20.

by Gromov [9]. Results of [6] can be used to show $k_{p,q} \geq \min(p, q)$; we conjecture $k_{p,q} = \min(p, q) + 1$.

If $\mathcal{H}$ is a homogeneous space, let $\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{H}) := \mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{H}, Q)$ for any point $Q \in H$; the isomorphism class of $\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{H})$ is independent of the point $Q \in H$. We say that $\mathcal{M}$ is k -modeled on $\mathcal{H}$ and that $\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{H})$ is a k -model for $\mathcal{M}$ if $\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}, P)$ are isomorphic for any $P \in M$.

Throughout this paper, we shall adopt the notational convention that

$$p \geq 1.$$

In [7], we exhibited complete metrics on $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$ of neutral signature $(3+2p, 3+2p)$ which are $(p+2)$ -curvature homogeneous, which are 0-modeled on an indecomposable symmetric space, but which are not $(p+3)$ -curvature homogeneous; these examples show that the constants $k_{p,q} \rightarrow \infty$ as $(p, q) \rightarrow \infty$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 rested on a careful analysis of the isometry groups of the model spaces. In this paper, we continue our study of the manifolds introduced in [7] by examining their isometry groups and the isometry groups of their k -models.

We recall the definition of the metrics on $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$ which were introduced in [7]. We will be defining a number of tensors in this paper and, in the interests of brevity, we shall only give the non-zero components up to the usual symmetries. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ be the usual coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^m$. Let

$$\{x, y, z_1, \dots, z_p, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_p, x^*, y^*, z_1^*, \dots, z_p^*, \tilde{y}^*, \tilde{z}_1^*, \dots, \tilde{z}_p^*\}$$

be coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$. Let $F = F(y, z_1, \dots, z_p) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{p+1})$. Let

$$\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,F} := (\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}, g_{6+4p,F})$$

where $g_{6+4p,F}$ is the metric of neutral signature $(3+2p, 3+2p)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$ with:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{6+4p,F}(\partial_x, \partial_x) &= -2\{F(y, z_1, \dots, z_p) + y\tilde{y} + z_1\tilde{z}_1 + \dots + z_p\tilde{z}_p\}, \\ g_{6+4p,F}(\partial_x, \partial_{x^*}) &= g_{6+4p,F}(\partial_y, \partial_{y^*}) = g_{6+4p,F}(\partial_{\tilde{y}}, \partial_{\tilde{y}^*}) = 1, \\ g_{6+4p,F}(\partial_{z_i}, \partial_{z_i^*}) &= g_{6+4p,F}(\partial_{\tilde{z}_i}, \partial_{\tilde{z}_i^*}) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.2 (Gilkey-Nikčević [7]). *Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{6+4p,F}$. Then:*

- (1) *All geodesics in $\mathcal{M}$ extend for infinite time.*
- (2) *$\exp_P : T_P \mathbb{R}^{6+4p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$ is a diffeomorphism for all $P \in \mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$.*
- (3) *$\nabla^k R(\partial_x, \partial_{\xi_1}, \partial_{\xi_2}, \partial_x; \partial_{\xi_3}, \dots, \partial_{\xi_{k+2}}) = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\xi_1} \dots \partial_{\xi_{k+2}})g_{6+4p,F}(\partial_x, \partial_x)$ are the non-zero components of $\nabla^k R$ where $\xi_i \in \{y, z_1, \dots, z_p, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_p\}$.*
- (4) *All scalar Weyl invariants of $\mathcal{M}$ vanish.*
- (5) *$\mathcal{M}$ is a symmetric space if and only if F is at most quadratic.*

1.1. The manifolds $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k} = (\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}, g_{6+4p,k})$. We can specialize this construction as follows. Let $g_{6+4p,k}$ be defined by setting $F = f_{p,k}$ where we let:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{p,0}(y, z_1, \dots, z_p) &:= 0, \\ f_{p,k}(y, z_1, \dots, z_p) &:= z_1 y^2 + \dots + z_k y^{k+1} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq p. \end{aligned}$$

As exceptional cases, we set:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{p,p+1}(y, z_1, \dots, z_p) &:= z_1 y^2 + \dots + z_p y^{p+1} + y^{p+3}, \\ f_{p,p+2}(y, z_1, \dots, z_p) &:= z_1 y^2 + \dots + z_p y^{p+1} + e^y. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.3 (Gilkey-Nikčević [7]). *Let $1 \leq k \leq p+2$.*

- (1) *$\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,0}$ is an indecomposable symmetric space.*
- (2) *$\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}$ is an indecomposable homogeneous space which is not symmetric.*

1.2. The manifolds $\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi} = (\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}, g_{6+4p,\psi})$. Let $\psi = \psi(y)$ be a real analytic function of one variable such that

$$\psi^{(p+3)} > 0, \quad \psi^{(p+4)} > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^{(p+3)} \neq ae^{by}.$$

Define a metric $g_{6+4p,\psi}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$ by taking $F = f_\psi$ where

$$f_\psi(y, z_1, \dots, z_p) := \psi(y) + z_1 y^2 + \dots + z_p y^{p+1}.$$

The following result shows that the geometry of a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold need not be determined by the k -model:

Theorem 1.4 (Gilkey-Nikčević [7]). *Let $0 \leq j < k \leq p + 2$.*

- (1) $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}$ is j -modeled on $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,j}$; $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,j}$ is not k -modeled on $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}$.
- (2) $\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi}$ is $p + 2$ -curvature homogeneous and $p + 2$ -modeled on $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,p+2}$.
- (3) $\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi}$ is not $p + 3$ -curvature homogeneous and not locally homogeneous.

1.3. Isometry groups. Let $G(\mathcal{M})$ (resp. $G(\mathfrak{M}_k)$) be the isometry group of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{M}$ (resp. of a k -model $\mathfrak{M}_k$). In this paper, we study the groups $G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k})$, $G(\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi})$, and $G(\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}, P))$ for any point P of $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$. A byproduct of our study is the following result that shows, not surprisingly, that the symmetric space $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,0}$ has the largest isometry group.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $1 \leq k \leq p$. Let $n_p := (6 + 4p) + (p + 1)(3 + 2p) + (2p + 3)$.*

- (1) $\dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,0})\} = n_p + (p + 1)(2p + 1)$.
- (2) $\dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k})\} = n_p + (2p + 2) + \frac{1}{2}(2p - k)(2p - k - 1)$.
- (3) $\dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,p+1})\} = \dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,p})\} - 1$.
- (4) $\dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,p+2})\} = \dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,p+1})\} - 1$.
- (5) $\dim\{G(\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi})\} = \dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,p+2})\} - 1$.

Here is a brief outline to the remainder of this paper. In Section 2, we review some results from [7]. In Section 3, we reduce the proof of Theorem 1.5 to a purely algebraic problem by showing for any $P \in \mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$ that for $0 \leq k \leq p + 2$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k})\} &= 6 + 4p + \dim\{G(\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}, P))\}, \\ \dim\{G(\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi})\} &= 5 + 4p + \dim\{G(\mathfrak{M}_{p+2}(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,p+2}, P))\}. \end{aligned}$$

In Section 4, we complete the proof by determining $\dim\{G(\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}, P))\}$ for $0 \leq k \leq p + 2$.

2. MODELS

It is convenient to work in the purely algebraic setting. Let

$$\mathfrak{M}_\nu := (V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, A^0, \dots, A^\nu)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a non-degenerate inner product of signature (p, q) on a finite dimensional vector space V of dimension $m = p + q$ and where $A^\mu \in \otimes^{4+\mu} V^*$ satisfies the appropriate symmetries of the covariant derivatives of the curvature tensor for $0 \leq \mu \leq \nu$; if $\nu = \infty$, then the sequence is infinite. We say that $\mathfrak{M}_\nu$ is a ν -model for a pseudo-Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{M} = (M, g)$ if for each point $P \in M$, there is an isomorphism $\phi_P : T_P M \rightarrow V$ so that

$$\phi_P^* \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = g_P \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_P^* A^\mu = \nabla^\mu R_P \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq \nu.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{M}$ is ν -curvature homogeneous if and only if it admits a ν -model.

2.1. Models for the manifolds $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi}$. Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{X, Y, Z_1, \dots, Z_p, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}_1, \dots, \tilde{Z}_p, X^*, Y^*, Z_1^*, \dots, Z_p^*, \tilde{Y}^*, \tilde{Z}_1^*, \dots, \tilde{Z}_p^*\}$$

be a basis for $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$. Define a hyperbolic inner-product on $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$ by pairing ordinary variables with the corresponding dual $\star$ -variables:

$$(2.a) \quad \langle X, X^* \rangle = \langle Y, Y^* \rangle = \langle \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}^* \rangle = \langle Z_i, Z_i^* \rangle = \langle \tilde{Z}_i, \tilde{Z}_i^* \rangle = 1.$$

Define $A^0 \in \otimes^4(\mathbb{R}^{6+4p})^*$ with non-zero components:

$$A^0(X, Y, \tilde{Y}, X) = A^0(X, Z_i, \tilde{Z}_i, X) = 1.$$

Define tensors $A^i \in \otimes^{4+i}(\mathbb{R}^{6+4p})^*$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ with non-zero components:

$$\begin{aligned} A^i(X, Y, Z_i, X; Y, \dots, Y) &= 1, \\ A^i(X, Y, Y, X; Z_i, Y, \dots, Y) &= 1, \dots, \\ A^i(X, Y, Y, X; Y, \dots, Y, Z_i) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally define $A^{p+1} \in \otimes^{5+p}(\mathbb{R}^{6+4p})^*$ and $A^{p+2} \in \otimes^{6+p}(\mathbb{R}^{6+4p})^*$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} A^{p+1}(X, Y, Y, X; Y, \dots, Y) &= 1, \\ A^{p+2}(X, Y, Y, X; Y, \dots, Y) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Define models:

$$\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,k} := (\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, A^0, \dots, A^k) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq p+2.$$

Lemma 2.1 (Gilkey-Nikčević [7]). *Let $0 \leq k \leq p+2$.*

- (1) $\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,k}$ is a k -model for $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}$.
- (2) $\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,p+2}$ is a $p+2$ -model for $\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi}$.

3. ISOMETRY GROUPS IN THE GEOMETRIC SETTING

In this section we will reduce the proof of Theorem 1.5 to a purely algebraic problem by showing:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $0 \leq k \leq p+2$.*

- (1) $\dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k})\} = 6 + 4p + \dim\{G(\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,k})\}.$
- (2) $\dim\{G(\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi})\} = 5 + 4p + \dim\{G(\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,p+2})\}.$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 will be based on several Lemmas. In Lemma 3.2, we review a basic result about group actions. In Lemma 3.3, we relate the full isometry group $G(\cdot)$ to the isotropy subgroup. In Lemma 3.4, we relate the isotropy subgroup to the isometry group of the ∞ -model. In Lemma 3.5, we relate isometry group of the ∞ -model to the isometry group of an appropriate finite model.

The following result is well known.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a Lie group which acts continuously on a metric space X . If $x \in X$, let $G \cdot x$ be the orbit and let $G_x = \{g \in G : gx = x\}$ be the isotropy subgroup.*

- (1) *We have a smooth principle bundle $G_x \rightarrow G \rightarrow G \cdot x$.*
- (2) $\dim\{G\} = \dim\{G_x\} + \dim\{G \cdot x\}.$

We can relate $\dim\{G(\mathcal{M})\}$ to $\dim\{G_P(\mathcal{M})\}$ for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}$ or $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi}$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $P \in \mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$. Let $0 \leq k \leq p+2$.*

- (1) $\dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k})\} = 6 + 4p + \dim\{G_P(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k})\}.$
- (2) $\dim\{G(\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi})\} = 6 + 4p - 1 + \dim\{G_P(\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi})\}.$

Proof. We apply Lemma 3.2 to the canonical action of $G(\mathcal{M})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$. Assertion (1) follows as $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}$ is a homogeneous space. Let $\nu \geq 2$. Set

$$\alpha_{6+4p,\nu}(\psi) := \psi^{(\nu+p+3)} \{\psi^{(p+3)}\}^{\nu-1} \{\psi^{(p+4)}\}^{-\nu}.$$

We showed [7] that if $\mathcal{B}$ is a basis satisfying the normalizations of Section 2.1, then the only non-zero components of $\nabla^{\nu+p+1}R$ are given by:

$$(3.a) \quad \nabla^{\nu+p+1}R(X, Y, Y, X; Y, \dots, Y) = \alpha_{6+4p,\nu}(\psi).$$

We also showed that the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) $\alpha_{6+4p,\nu}(\psi_1)(P_1) = \alpha_{6+4p,\nu}(\psi_2)(P_2)$ for all $\nu \geq 2$.
- (2) There exists an isometry $\phi : \mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi_2}$ with $\phi(P_1) = P_2$.

The functions $\alpha_{6+4p,\nu}(\psi)$ are constant on the hyperplanes $y = c$; thus the group of isometries acts transitively on such a hyperplane. Consequently

$$\dim\{G(\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi})\} \geq \dim\{G_P(\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi})\} + 6 + 4p - 1.$$

Since $\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi}$ is not a homogeneous space, equality holds. $\square$

Let $P \in M$. We can show that $G_P(\mathcal{M})$ is isomorphic to $G(\mathfrak{M}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, P))$ under certain circumstances.

Lemma 3.4.

- (1) Let $\mathcal{M}_1 := (M_1, g_1)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 := (M_2, g_2)$ be real analytic. Assume for $\varrho = 1, 2$ that there are points $P_\varrho \in M_\varrho$ so $\exp_{P_\varrho} : T_{P_\varrho}M_\varrho \rightarrow M_\varrho$ is a diffeomorphism. If $\phi : T_{P_1}M_1 \rightarrow T_{P_2}M_2$ induces an isomorphism from $\mathfrak{M}_\infty(\mathcal{M}_1, P_1)$ to $\mathfrak{M}_\infty(\mathcal{M}_2, P_2)$, then $\Phi := \exp_{P_2} \circ \phi \circ \exp_{P_1}^{-1}$ is an isometry from $\mathcal{M}_1$ to $\mathcal{M}_2$.
- (2) If $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}$ or if $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi}$, then $G_P(\mathcal{M}) = G(\mathfrak{M}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, P))$ for any point $P \in \mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$.

Proof. Belger and Kowalski [1] note about analytic pseudo-Riemannian metrics that the “metric g is uniquely determined, up to local isometry, by the tensors $R, \nabla R, \dots, \nabla^k R, \dots$ at one point.”; see also Gray [8] for related work. The first assertion now follows; the second follows immediately from the first and from Theorem 1.2. $\square$

We now replace the infinite model by a finite model:

Lemma 3.5. Let $P \in \mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$. Let $0 \leq k \leq p+2$. Then:

- (1) $G(\mathfrak{M}_\infty(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}, P)) = G(\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,k})$.
- (2) $G(\mathfrak{M}_\infty(\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi}, P)) = G(\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,p+2})$.

Proof. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold, restriction induces an injective map

$$r : G(\mathfrak{M}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, P)) \rightarrow G(\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}, P)).$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{4p+6,k}$ for $k < p+2$. Then $\nabla^j R = 0$ for $j > k$; consequently any isomorphism of the k -model is an isomorphism of the ∞ -model; this proves Assertion (1) for $0 \leq k \leq p+1$.

To deal with the remaining cases, we suppose that $\psi^{(p+3)}$ and $\psi^{(p+4)}$ are always positive, but drop the restriction that $\psi^{(p+3)} \neq ae^{by}$. Choose a basis $\mathcal{B}$ for $T_P M$ satisfying the normalizations of Section 2.1. If $g \in G(\mathfrak{M}_{p+2}(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,p+2}, P))$, then $g\mathcal{B}$ also satisfies the normalizations of Section 2.1. We may then apply Equation (3.a) to see that g is in fact an isomorphism of the ∞ -model since g preserves $\nabla^k R$ for any $k > p+2$. The first assertion with $k = p+2$ and the second assertion of the Lemma now follow; this also completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. $\square$

4. ISOMETRY GROUPS OF THE MODELS

Let $\mathbb{R}^{3+2p} := \text{Span}\{X, Y, Z_1, \dots, Z_p, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}_1, \dots, \tilde{Z}_p\}$ and let $B^i \in \otimes^{4+i}(\mathbb{R}^{3+2p})^*$ be the restriction of A^i to $\mathbb{R}^{3+2p}$. We introduce the affine models by restricting the domain and suppressing the metric:

$$\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k} := (\mathbb{R}^{3+2p}, B^0, \dots, B^k).$$

Lemma 4.1. $\dim\{G(\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,k})\} = \dim\{G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})\} + (p+1)(3+2p)$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{o}(s)$ be Lie algebra of skew-symmetric $s \times s$ real matrices. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} : &= (S_1, \dots, S_{3+2p}) = (X, Y, Z_1, \dots, Z_p, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}_1, \dots, \tilde{Z}_p), \\ \mathcal{S}^* : &= (S_1^*, \dots, S_{3+2p}^*) = (X^*, Y^*, Z_1^*, \dots, Z_p^*, \tilde{Y}^*, \tilde{Z}_1^*, \dots, \tilde{Z}_p^*), \\ \mathcal{K} : &= \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{6+4p} : A^0(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) = 0 \ \forall \ \eta_i \in \mathbb{R}^{6+4p}\} \\ &= \text{Span}\{S_1^*, \dots, S_{3+2p}^*\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $g \in G(\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,k})$. The space $\mathcal{K}$ is preserved by g . Thus

$$gS_i = \sum_{j,i} \{g_{0,ij}S_j + g_{1,ij}S_j^*\} \quad \text{and} \quad gS_i^* = \sum_{j,i} \{g_{2,ij}S_j^*\}.$$

By Equation (2.a), $\langle gS_i, gS_j \rangle = 0$ and $\langle gS_i, gS_j^* \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Thus

$$\sum_k \{g_{0,ik}g_{1,jk} + g_{1,ik}g_{0,jk}\} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_k \{g_{0,ik}g_{2,jk}\} = \delta_{ij}.$$

for all i, j . Set $\gamma := g_0 g_1^t$. One then has

$$(4.a) \quad g_0 \in G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k}), \quad \gamma + \gamma^t = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad g_0 g_2^t = \text{id}.$$

Conversely, if Equation (4.a) is satisfied then $g \in G(\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,k})$. The map $g \rightarrow (g_0, \gamma)$ yields an identification of

$$G(\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,k}) = G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k}) \times \mathfrak{o}(3+2p)$$

as a twisted product. The Lemma follows as $\dim\{\mathfrak{o}(3+2p)\} = \frac{1}{2}(3+2p)(2+2p)$. $\square$

There is a natural action of $G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3+2p}$. We continue our study by relating $G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})$ and the isotropy subgroup $G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})$.

Lemma 4.2.

- (1) $\dim\{G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})\} = \dim\{G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})\} + 2p + 3$ for $k \leq p + 1$.
- (2) $\dim\{G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+2})\} = \dim\{G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+2})\} + 2p + 2$.

Proof. Lemma 4.2 will follow from Lemma 3.2 and the following relations:

$$(4.b) \quad \begin{aligned} G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})X &= \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3+2p} : \langle \xi, X^* \rangle \neq 0\} \quad \text{if } k \leq p + 1, \\ G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+2})X &= \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3+2p} : \langle \xi, X^* \rangle = \pm 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

We first show $\supset$ holds in Equation (4.b). Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3+2p}$. Assume that

$$a := \langle \xi, X^* \rangle \neq 0.$$

Set $gX = \xi$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_0 &:= (a^2)^{-1/(p+3)}, \quad gY := \varepsilon_0 Y, \quad g\tilde{Y} := a^{-2} \varepsilon_0^{-1} \tilde{Y}, \\ \varepsilon_i &:= \{a^2 \varepsilon_0^{i+1}\}^{-1}, \quad gZ_i := \varepsilon_i Z_i, \quad gZ_i^* := \varepsilon_i^{-1} a^{-2} \tilde{Z}_i. \end{aligned}$$

The non-zero components of $\nabla^i R$ for $1 \leq i \leq p+2$ are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(gX, gY, g\tilde{Y}, gX) &= a^2 \varepsilon_0 a^{-2} \varepsilon_0^{-1} = 1, \\ R(gX, gZ_i, g\tilde{Z}_i, gX) &= a^2 \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_i^{-1} a^{-2} = 1, \\ \nabla R(gX, gY, gZ_1, gX; gY) &= \nabla R(gX, gY, gY, gX; gZ_1) = a^2 \varepsilon_0^2 \varepsilon_1 = 1, \dots \\ \nabla^p R(gX, gY, gZ_p, gX; gY, \dots, gY) &= \nabla^p R(gX, gY, gY, gX; gZ_p, gY, \dots, gY) = \dots \\ &= \nabla^p R(gX, gY, gY, gX; gY, \dots, gY, gZ_p) = a^2 \varepsilon_0^{p+1} \varepsilon_p = 1, \\ \nabla^{p+1} R(gX, gY, gY, gX; gY, \dots, gY) &= a^2 \varepsilon_0^{p+3} = 1, \\ \nabla^{p+2} R(gX, gY, gY, gX; gY, \dots, gY) &= a^2 \varepsilon_0^{p+4} = \varepsilon_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $g \in G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, p+1})$. Furthermore, $g \in G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, p+2})$ if $a^2 = 1$. Consequently:

$$(4.c) \quad \begin{aligned} \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3+2p} : \langle \xi, X^* \rangle \neq 0\} &\subset G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, k}) \cdot X \quad \text{for } k \leq p+1, \\ \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3+2p} : \langle \xi, X^* \rangle = \pm 1\} &\subset G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, p+2}) \cdot X. \end{aligned}$$

We must establish the reverse inclusions to complete the proof. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3+2p}$. Let $J_\xi(\eta_1, \eta_2) := R(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2, \xi)$ be the *Jacobi form*. Adopt the Einstein convention and sum over repeated indices to expand

$$\xi = aX + b^i Z_i + \tilde{b}^i \tilde{Z}_i$$

where $a = \langle \xi, X^* \rangle$. We have the following cases

- (1) If $a = 0$, then $J_\xi = 0$ on $\text{Span}\{Y, \tilde{Y}, Z_i, \tilde{Z}_i\}$ so $\text{Rank}(J_\xi) \leq 1$.
- (2) If $a \neq 0$, then $J_\xi(Y, \tilde{Y}) \neq 0$ so $\text{Rank}(J_\xi) \geq 2$.

If $g \in G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, k})$, then $\text{Rank}\{J_\xi\} = \text{Rank}\{J_{g\xi}\}$. Consequently

$$\langle \xi, X^* \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{Rank}(J_\xi) \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow \text{Rank}(J_{g\xi}) \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow \langle g\xi, X^* \rangle = 0$$

Consequently we have

$$(4.d) \quad \begin{aligned} G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, k}) \cdot X &\subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3+2p} : \langle \xi, X^* \rangle \neq 0\}, \\ G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, k}) \cdot \text{Span}\{Y, Z_i, \tilde{Z}_i\} &= \text{Span}\{Y, Z_i, \tilde{Z}_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $k = p+2$. Since $\text{Rank}(J_Y) = 0$, $\text{Rank}(J_{gY}) = 0$ so $\langle gY, X^* \rangle = 0$. Expand

$$\begin{aligned} gX &= aX + a_0 Y + \tilde{a}_0 \tilde{Y} + a^i Z_i + \tilde{a}^i \tilde{Z}_i, \\ gY &= b^0 Y + \tilde{b}^0 \tilde{Y} + b^i Z_i + \tilde{b}^i \tilde{Z}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \nabla^{p+1} R(gX, gY, gY, gX; gY, \dots, gY) = a^2 (b^0)^{p+3}, \\ 1 &= \nabla^{p+2} R(gX, gY, gY, gX; gY, \dots, gY) = a^2 (b^0)^{p+4}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $a^2 = 1$ and $b^0 = 1$ so

$$(4.e) \quad \begin{aligned} G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, p+2})X &\subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3+2p} : \langle \xi, X^* \rangle = \pm 1\}, \\ G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, p+2})Y &\subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3+2p} : \langle \xi, X^* \rangle = 0, \text{ and } \langle \xi, Y^* \rangle = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Equations (4.c), (4.d), and (4.e) now imply Equation (4.b); the Lemma follows. $\square$

We now consider the double isotropy group

$$G_{X,Y}(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, k}) = \{g \in G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, k}) : gX = X \text{ and } gY = Y\}.$$

Lemma 4.3.

- (1) $\dim\{G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, 0})\} = (p+1)(2p+1)$.
- (2) $\dim\{G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, k})\} = \dim\{G_{X,Y}(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, k})\} + 2p+2$ for $1 \leq k \leq p$.
- (3) $\dim\{G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, k})\} = \dim\{G_{X,Y}(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, k})\} + 2p+1$ for $k = p+1, p+2$.
- (4) $G_{X,Y}(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, p}) = G_{X,Y}(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, p+1}) = G_{X,Y}(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p, p+2})$.

Proof. As noted above, the Jacobi form $J_X(\cdot, \cdot) = R(X, \cdot, \cdot, X)$ defines a non-singular bilinear form of signature $(p+1, p+1)$ on

$$W := \text{Span}\{Y, Z_1, \dots, Z_p, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}_1, \dots, \tilde{Z}_p\} = \{\xi : \text{Rank}(J_\xi) \leq 1\}.$$

Let $O(W, J_X)$ be the associated orthogonal group. If $g \in G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})$, then we have $gW = W$ by Equation (4.d). Since $gX = X$, we may safely identify g with $g|_W$. Furthermore,

$$J_X(\xi, \eta) = J_{gX}(g\xi, g\eta) = J_X(g\xi, g\eta) \quad \text{so} \quad G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k}) \subset O(W, J_X).$$

Conversely, if g is a linear map of W which preserves J_X , we may extend g to $\mathbb{R}^{3+2p}$ by defining $gX = X$ and thereby obtain an element of $G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,0})$. Thus $G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,0}) = O(W, J_X)$. Assertion (1) now follows since

$$\dim\{O(W, J_X)\} = \frac{1}{2} \dim W (\dim W - 1) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + 2p)(2 + 2p).$$

Assertions (2) and (3) will follow from Lemma 3.2 and from the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (4.f) \quad & G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k}) \cdot Y = \{\xi \in W : \langle \xi, Y^* \rangle \neq 0\} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq p, \\ & G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+1}) \cdot Y = \{\xi \in W : \langle \xi, Y^* \rangle^{p+3} = 1\}, \\ & G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+2}) \cdot Y = \{\xi \in W : \langle \xi, Y^* \rangle = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\xi \in W$, let $S_\xi(\eta) := \nabla R(X, \xi, \xi, X; \eta)$. Expand

$$(4.g) \quad \xi = b^0 Y + \tilde{b}^0 \tilde{Y} + b^i Z_i + \tilde{b}^i \tilde{Z}_i.$$

We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} S_\xi(X) &= 0, \quad S_\xi(\tilde{Z}_i) = 0, \quad S_\xi(Y) = 2b^0 b^1, \\ S_\xi(Z_1) &= (b^0)^2, \quad \text{and} \quad S_\xi(Z_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $S_\xi = 0$ if and only if $b^0 = \langle \xi, Y^* \rangle = 0$. It now follows that for $k \geq 1$ we have

$$(4.h) \quad \begin{aligned} G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})Y &\subset \{\xi \in W : \langle \xi, Y^* \rangle \neq 0\}, \\ G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k}) \text{Span}\{Z_i, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}_i\} &\subset \text{Span}\{Z_i, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a = 1$, the analysis used to prove Lemma 4.2 shows $(b^0)^{p+3} = 1$ if $k = p+1$ and $b^0 = 1$ if $k = p+2$. This establishes the inclusions $\subset$ in Equation (4.f).

We complete the proof by establishing the reverse inclusions in Equation (4.f). Expand ξ in the form given in Equation (4.g). Assume $b^0 \neq 0$. Let $gX = X$, $gY = \xi$, $g\tilde{Y} = (b^0)^{-1}\tilde{Y}$,

$$gZ_i := \varepsilon_i \{Z_i - (b^0)^{-1}\tilde{b}^i \tilde{Y}\} \quad \text{and} \quad g\tilde{Z}_i := \varepsilon_i^{-1} \{\tilde{Z}_i - (b^0)^{-1}b^i \tilde{Y}\}.$$

The possibly non-zero components of R are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(gX, gY, g\tilde{Y}, gX) &= 1, \\ R(gX, gY, gZ_i, gX) &= \varepsilon_i \{\tilde{b}^i - (b^0)(b^0)^{-1}\tilde{b}^i\} = 0, \\ R(gX, gY, g\tilde{Z}_i, gX) &= \varepsilon_i^{-1} \{b^i - (b^0)(b^0)^{-1}b^i\} = 0, \\ R(gX, gZ_i, g\tilde{Z}_i, gX) &= \varepsilon_i^{-1} \varepsilon_i = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The non-zero components of $\nabla^i R$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla^i R(gX, gY, gZ_i, gX; gY, \dots, gY) = \dots \\ &= \nabla^i R(gX, gY, gY, gX; gY, \dots, gZ_i) = (b^0)^{i+1} \varepsilon_i. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore set $\varepsilon_i = (b^0)^{-i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ to ensure $g \in G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p})$.

The non-zero components of $\nabla^i R$ for $i = p+1, p+2$ are

$$\nabla^i R(gX, gY, gY, gX; gY, \dots, gY) = (b^0)^{i+2}.$$

If $(b^0)^{p+3} = 1$, then $g \in G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+1})$; if $b^0 = 1$, then $g \in G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+2})$. This establishes the reverse inclusions in Equation (4.f) and completes the proof of Assertions (2) and (3); Assertion (4) is immediate. $\square$

Let $W(p) := \text{Span}\{Z_1, \dots, Z_p, \tilde{Z}_1, \dots, \tilde{Z}_p\}$. Let $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p, \tilde{\beta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_p\}$ be the corresponding dual basis for the dual space $\mathcal{W}(p) := W(p)^*$. The curvature tensor $R(X, \cdot, \cdot, X)$ defines a non-degenerate form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $W(p)$; dually on $\mathcal{W}(p)$ we have:

$$\langle \beta_i, \beta_j \rangle = \langle \tilde{\beta}_i, \tilde{\beta}_j \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \beta_i, \tilde{\beta}_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}.$$

Let $\mathcal{O}(p)$ be the associated orthogonal group on $\mathcal{W}(p)$. Let

$$\mathcal{O}(p, k) := \{h \in \mathcal{O}(p) : h\beta_i = \beta_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k\}$$

be the simultaneous isotropy group. We set $\mathcal{O}(p, 0) = \mathcal{O}(p)$. Theorem 1.5 will now follow from the following result:

Lemma 4.4. *Let $1 \leq k \leq p$.*

- (1) $G_{X,Y}(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k}) = \mathcal{O}(p, k)$.
- (2) $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\beta}_1}(p, k) = \mathcal{O}(p-1, k-1)$.
- (3) $\dim\{\mathcal{O}(p, k)\} = \dim\{\mathcal{O}(p-1, k-1)\} + 2p - k - 1$.
- (4) $\dim\{\mathcal{O}(p, k)\} = \frac{1}{2}(2p-k)(2p-k-1)$.

Proof. Let $g \in G_{X,Y}(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})$. Let $\xi \in \text{Span}\{Z_1, \dots, Z_p, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}_1, \dots, \tilde{Z}_p\}$. We may use Equation (4.h) and the relation $R(X, Y, g\xi, X) = R(X, Y, \xi, X)$, to see

$$g\tilde{Y} = \tilde{Y} + a^i Z_i + \tilde{a}^i \tilde{Z}_i, \quad gZ_i = a_i^j Z_j + \tilde{a}_i^j \tilde{Z}_j, \quad g\tilde{Z}_i = \tilde{a}_i^j Z_j + a_i^j \tilde{Z}_j.$$

Consequently $\text{Span}_{1 \leq i \leq p}\{gZ_i, g\tilde{Z}_i\} = \text{Span}_{1 \leq i \leq p}\{Z_i, \tilde{Z}_i\}$ and the relation

$$R(X, gZ_i, g\tilde{Y}, X) = R(X, g\tilde{Z}_i, g\tilde{Y}, X) = 0$$

implies $a^i = \tilde{a}^i = 0$. Thus $g\tilde{Y} = \tilde{Y}$ and $g : W(p) \rightarrow W(p)$; this shows that g is determined by its restriction to $W(p)$. Let $h := *g$ denote the dual action of g on $\mathcal{W}(p)$. The isomorphism of Assertion (1) now follows as:

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, g\xi_1, g\xi_2, R) &= R(X, \xi_1, \xi_2, X) \quad \forall \xi_1, \xi_2 \Leftrightarrow h \in \mathcal{O}(p), \\ \nabla^i R(X, Y, g\xi, X; Y, \dots, Y) &= \nabla^i R(X, Y, \xi, X; Y, \dots, Y) \quad \forall \xi \Leftrightarrow h\beta_i = \beta_i. \end{aligned}$$

If $h(\beta_1) = \beta_1$ and $h(\tilde{\beta}_1) = \tilde{\beta}_1$, then h preserves

$$\text{Span}\{\beta_1, \tilde{\beta}_1\}^\perp = \text{Span}\{\beta_2, \dots, \beta_p, \tilde{\beta}_2, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_p\}.$$

The isomorphism of Assertion (2) now follows by restricting h to this subspace and by renumbering the variables appropriately.

We set

$$\mathcal{W}(p, k) := \{\xi \in \mathcal{W}(p) : \langle \xi, \xi \rangle = 0, \langle \xi, \beta_1 \rangle = 1, \langle \xi, \beta_i \rangle = 0 \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

If $h \in \mathcal{O}(p, k)$, then h preserves $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and h preserves $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\}$. Consequently $h\tilde{\beta}_1 \in \mathcal{W}(p, k)$ as $\tilde{\beta}_1$ satisfies these relations. Conversely, $\xi \in \mathcal{W}(p, k)$ if and only if

$$\xi = b^1 \beta_1 + \sum_{1 < i} b^i \beta_i + \tilde{\beta}_1 + \sum_{k < i} \tilde{b}^i \tilde{\beta}_i \quad \text{where} \quad b^1 + \sum_{k < i} b^i \tilde{b}^i = 0.$$

Since the variables $\{b^2, \dots, b^p, \tilde{b}^{k+1}, \dots, \tilde{b}^p\}$ can be chosen arbitrarily,

$$\mathcal{W}(p, k) = \mathbb{R}^{p-1+p-k} \quad \text{so} \quad \dim \mathcal{W}(p, k) = 2p - k - 1.$$

We show that $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(p, k)\tilde{\beta}_1$ by finding $h \in \mathcal{O}(p, k)$ so $h\tilde{\beta}_1 = \xi$. Set:

$$\begin{aligned} h\beta_i &= \beta_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k, & h\beta_i &= \beta_i - \tilde{b}^i \beta_1 \quad \text{for } k < i, \\ h\tilde{\beta}_1 &= \xi, & h\tilde{\beta}_i &= \tilde{\beta}_i - b^i \beta_1 \quad \text{for } 1 < i. \end{aligned}$$

This shows $\mathcal{O}(p, k) \cdot \tilde{\beta}_1 = \mathcal{W}(p, k)$. Assertion (3) now follows from Assertion (2) and from Lemma 3.2.

As $\dim\{\mathcal{O}(p-k)\} = \frac{1}{2}(2p-2k)(2p-2k-1)$, Assertion (4) follows by induction. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research of P. Gilkey partially supported by the Max Planck Institute in the Mathematical Sciences (Leipzig). Research of S. Nikčević partially supported by MM 1646 (Srbija).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Belger and O. Kowalski, Riemannian metrics with the prescribed curvature tensor and all its covariant derivatives at one point, *Math. Nachr.* **168** (1994), 209–225.
- [2] P. Bueken and M. Djorić, Three-dimensional Lorentz metrics and curvature homogeneity of order one, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **18** (2000), 85–103.
- [3] P. Bueken and L. Vanhecke, Examples of curvature homogeneous Lorentz metrics, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **14** (1997), L93–L96.
- [4] M. Cahen, J. Leroy, M. Parker, F. Tricerri, and L. Vanhecke, Lorentz manifolds modelled on a Lorentz symmetric space, *J. Geom. Phys.* **7** (1990), 571–581.
- [5] D. Ferus, H. Karcher, and H. Münzner, Cliffordalgebren und neue isoparametrische Hyperflächen, *Math. Z.* **177** (1981), 479–502.
- [6] P. Gilkey and S. Nikčević, Complete k -curvature homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry* (to appear); math.DG/0405024
- [7] P. Gilkey and S. Nikčević, Complete k -curvature homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds 0-modeled on an indecomposable symmetric space, to appear in *Topics in Almost Hermitian Geometry and the Related Fields – an International conference in honor of Professor K. Sekigawa’s 60th birthday*.
- [8] A. Gray, The volume of a small geodesic ball of a Riemannian manifold, *Mich. Math. J.* **20** (1973), 329–344.
- [9] M. Gromov, **Partial differential relations**, *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* 3. Folge, Band 9, Springer-Verlag (1986).
- [10] F. Podesta and A. Spiro, Introduzione ai Gruppi di Trasformazioni, *Volume of the Preprint Series of the Mathematics Department “V. Volterra” of the University of Ancona, Via delle Brece Bianche, Ancona, ITALY* (1996).
- [11] I. M. Singer, Infinitesimally homogeneous spaces, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **13** (1960), 685–697.
- [12] K. Sekigawa, H. Suga, and L. Vanhecke, Four-dimensional curvature homogeneous spaces, *Commentat. Math. Univ. Carol.* **33** (1992), 261–268.
- [13] K. Sekigawa, H. Suga, and L. Vanhecke, Curvature homogeneity for four-dimensional manifolds, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **32** (1995), 93–101.
- [14] H. Takagi, On curvature homogeneity of Riemannian manifolds, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **26** (1974), 581–585.

PG: MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE OR 97403 USA.

EMAIL: gilkey@darkwing.uoregon.edu

SN: MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SANU, KNEZ MIHAILOVA 35, P.P. 367, 11001 BELGRADE, SERBIA AND MONTENEGRO.

EMAIL: stanan@mi.sanu.ac.yu